

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/09/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/656,933	09/07/2000	Robert Evan Myer	72	2373	
75	0 1107/2005			•	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 8910			EXAMINER		
Reston, VA 20	195		NGUYEN, JIMMY		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2829		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/656,933	MYER, ROBERT EVAN	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	_	Jimmy Nguyen	2829	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply		correspondenc address	
- External control con	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 0 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period warre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	obtained the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicatio	n.
Status	55 57 61 K 1.704(b).			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 J	anuary 2003 .		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	nce except for formal matters, pi Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	rosecution as to the merits 153 O.G. 213.	is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
	on Papers			
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept		niner	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) 🔲 T	The proposed drawing correction filed oni	is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	ved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply		·	
12) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	,		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents I		n No.	
	3.	y documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	d in this National Stage	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic p			n)
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language provi	sional application has been rece	ived.	
Attachment(,,		
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s) Itent Application (PTO-152)	
S. Patent and Trac TO-326 (Rev.	demark Office 04-01) Office Actio	n Summary	Part of Paper No. 11	

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's response filed 1/28/03 has been carefully considered with the following effect;

The applicant argues that Thrasher et al fail to teach or suggest at least "wherein the probe conductor is equidistant with the insulator along the contact surface." In particular, the probe tip 14 taught by Thrasher et al is conically or triangularly shaped at its remote end with the conductor strip having a horseshoe shape. The examiner is disagree, the fact that the probe tip 14 taught by Thrasher et al has different shape with the conducting strip 21 is not necessary means they don't have equidistant, according to figure 1 of Thrasher et al the length conductive strip 21 run along the probe portion 14 which indicate the sufficient amount length use would be the same. Moreover, the applicant doesn't make argument on Scott et al.

As explained in detail above, the amendments do not render the claims distinct and patentable over prior art; nor do the amendments overcome the rejection. The applicant's arguments have considered in full, but they are deemed to be unpersuasive and without merit. Therefore, this final rejection is made.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,933

Art Unit: 2829

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 3, 5 - 7, 9, 11- 13, 15, 17 – 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Thrasher et al (US 5952820).

As to claims 1, 7, 19, 20, Thrasher et al disclose (fig 1) an RF probe (11) including

A conductive return (the interconnection of the circuit 41 in figure 3);

A insulator having a contact surface (14, column 4 line 51);

Art Unit: 2829

A probe conductor (21, column 4 line 52) positioned adjacent to the insulator contact surface (14)

A termination (R1 – R4) electrically positioned between the conductive return (the interconnection within the circuit 41 of figure 4) and the probe conductor (21), wherein the probe conductor (21) is equidistant with the insulator (14) along the contact surface (14)

As to claims 3, 5,6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 17, 18, Thrasher et al disclose (fig 1) a semiconductor device, a resistor (R1-R4) and a diode (D1, D2)

As to claim 13, Thrasher et al disclose (fig 1) the insulator (14) has a least a partial cross sections (semi circle) that is substantially circular in a plane substantially perpendicular to the probe conductor (21).

Application/Control Number: 09/656,933

Art Unit: 2829

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 4, 8, 10, 14, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thrasher et al (US 5952820) in view of Scott et al (US 5748002)

As to claims 2, 4, 8, 10, 14, 16, Thrasher et al disclose everything except for the probe conductor is formed within the coaxial conductor and termination is approximately 50 ohms. On the other hand, Scott et al teach the probe conductor (21) is formed within the coaxial conductor and termination is approximately 50 ohms (column 28 line 34-38) for the purpose of matching impedance.

It would have been obvious to one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Thrasher et al' probe and used the resistor value of Scott et al for the purpose of matching impedance.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,933

Art Unit: 2829

Conclusion

1. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

Page 6

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jimmy Nguyen at (703) 306-5858. Any inquiry of a

general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4900.

JN.

April 2, 2003

CAMAND CLINED

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800